

# Managing Financial Flows: Issues and Challenges in Conducting Monetary Policy

John Williamson

Senior Fellow

Peterson Institute for International  
Economics

# The Trilemma

A country can only achieve two of the following three good things:

- Freely mobile capital
- A fixed (or, in general, managed) exchange rate
- Monetary autonomy

Although intermediate solutions (giving up some of more than one thing) are always possible

# Origins

- Hume's model of the Gold Standard said that in the long run a country's exchange rate implies a particular value of the money supply
- Mundell's work in the 1960s showed that a similar proposition holds in the short run with perfect capital mobility
- Because capital flows in/out until the money supply is at its equilibrium level.

# Perfect Capital Mobility

Definition: even a microscopic difference in the rate of return brings/repels an indefinitely large volume of funds

So it is compelled to have the same real rate of interest

If it has a separate currency, the same real rate implies that nominal interest plus the expected rate of depreciation equals “the” foreign interest rate

Why capital mobility may not be perfect:

- Exchange rate bands
- Need for someone to take an open position
- If the behavioural model of the exchange rate (De Grauwe and Grimaldi) is right, not all actors in the FX market are fundamentalists.

# Empirical Results on the Trilemma

Regression of interest rate changes on changes in the base country's interest rate

- With exchange-rate regime, capital controls, as independent variables
- Consistent results:
  - All types of countries have positive coefficients on the base interest rate (common shocks?)
  - Countries with currency pegs have higher coefficients
  - Countries with capital controls have lower coefficients
  - But even pegged countries without capital controls have coefficients only in the range of 0.4 to 0.6.

Obstfeld, Shambaugh, and Taylor

# Weak Form of Trilemma

Thus the results say the trilemma is directionally correct but does not pin down the interest rate/monetary policy

Central banks can still pursue monetary policy directed at domestic objectives, but a given divergence from the international norm will require larger reserve changes

And it follows that there is still some scope for sterilized intervention (as the empirical evidence seems to say).

# Monetary Target

- The established principle (since Keynes) is that monetary policy should be directed at domestic stabilization
  - Described as “internal balance” in Keynesian heyday
  - Now inflation targeting, but practical impact usually similar
- Mundell’s fiscal-monetary mix of the 1960s assigned monetary policy to external balance, but floating permits a reversion to the traditional objective.

# External Balance

- Important in early postwar years
- Mundell's mix suggested it could be achieved on the cheap
- Importance of target has dwindled with floating (although some of us attempted to define it as the current balance that would match the average capital flow over the cycle)
- Nowadays the most that is commonly regarded as significant is limitation of a *cumulative* net wealth position vis-à-vis the rest of the world
- Which may demand fiscal adjustment.

# The Dilemma of a Trilemma EM

- Consider the problem of a typical EM with:
  - The non-extreme form of the trilemma
  - An inflation-targeting central bank
  - No flow target for the balance of payments
  - A desire to keep net foreign assets within bounds
- Healthy world growth provokes both the desire for high interest rates at home and a capital inflow
- High interest rates maintained by sterilizing the inflow invites a further inflow and more reserve accumulation
- Alternative is a real appreciation (inflation if it eases intervention, inflation if it eases sterilization)
- I.e. a danger of Dutch disease
- Problem is more acute if the behavioural theory is right.

# Ways of Mitigating the Conflict

- Fiscal policy—but political economy may limit its use
- Ease import restrictions--if they still exist
- Liberalize capital outflows—if it won't make the problem worse
- Declare a reference rate for the exchange rate—if it's effective
- But a country may not have an alternative to capital controls.

# Capital Controls

- Standard objections:
  - Creation of distortions
  - Opportunities for corruption
- So seek a mechanism that changes the cost calculus but leaves the ultimate decision with the investor
- Examples:
  - Prudential bank regulations—but not a good anti-cyclical instrument
  - Increased reserve ratio of banks
  - Switch public sector deposits from commercial banks to central bank
  - Impose a compulsory deposit on capital inflows (like Chile did)
  - Tax inflows
  - Tax more highly interest income earned by foreigners.

# Why So Much Resistance to Capital Controls in Any Form?

- Given a fear of Dutch disease, given the desire to stabilize, case for is strong
- Case against cannot rely on growth
  - Prasad, Rogoff, Wei, and Kose 2003
- Essentially ideological
- Note that the desire to avoid Dutch disease is not the same as running a massive surplus which is not essential to export-led growth
- Classic East Asian model of export-led growth was prior to 1997 when most E. Asian countries had c/a deficits, thus high investment, therefore high growth.

# Mrs Machlup's Wardrobe

- In 1966 Fritz Machlup argued that central bankers' desires for reserves were paralleled by his wife's desire for new clothes: both liked a bit more than last year, rather than having rational demands
- At the time we thought he had correctly diagnosed the typical central banker as mildly irrational
- But there is another possible explanation: that even if they like more reserves than last year this is to safeguard themselves against speculative attack because speculators get nervous if they see a decrease
- Implication: a capital inflow cannot be stored for future use, if the recipient wants to use capital inflows to finance investment, then he needs to run a simultaneous c/a deficit.

# A Note on High Reserve Accumulation

- Generally believed that countries paid a high price for large reserves
- Cost in terms of:
  - High price in terms of interest foregone
  - And difficulty in combating inflation
  - Though some people argue this was mitigated by gain in stimulating export-led growth
- If in fact reserves have bought little protection, reserve-accumulation has been carried altogether too far.

# Conclusions

- There is an inherent trilemma
- But only of the “weak” form
- Which makes life more difficult but does not preclude the possibility of managing the economy and resisting Dutch disease
- Given the importance of these two objectives, it is surprising that the opposition to all forms of capital control is so intense.